

**Galatians 3:18~** For if the inheritance is due to Law, it is no longer due to promise, whereas God has kindly given it to Abraham through a promise.

1· Paul here tries to show, that the circumcision comes from the Law, and reasons along the line, that if the Law is done away with by a better covenant, so is circumcision. This reasoning is incorrect. The circumcision comes from a prior covenant, that he himself says was **not invalidated by the Law Covenant, the covenant of (Genesis 17:1-14)**, coming later, and this covenant's only requirement was circumcision in the flesh.

[Question] What wrong reasoning, does Paul here try to present?

[Question] Why is this entire line of reasoning incorrect?

[Question] What was the only requirement of the second Abrahamic covenant of **(Genesis 17:1-14)**?

2· Also this covenant's fulfillment pointed to the true seed of promise and his spiritual offspring to time indefinite. Even though Abraham was given this covenant through a promise and not based upon his works under the Law Covenant, this requirement of circumcision is still incumbent upon the seed of promise to validate this covenant. For unless blood is poured out, then no forgiveness takes place.

[Question] Also what seed did this covenant point forward to?

[Question] What then, is still incumbent upon anointed Christians, who are this spiritual seed, and why?

3· Now if it is by undeserved kindness, it is no **longer due** to works, otherwise, the undeserved kindness no **longer** proves to be undeserved kindness. **(Romans 11:6)**

[Question] What would no longer prove to be underserved, if righteousness came through works?

4· I shall surely bless you and I shall surely multiply your seed like the stars of the heavens and like the grains of sand that are on the seashore, and your seed will take possession of the gate of his enemies. **(Genesis 22:17)**

[Question] What promise did Yehowah God make to Abraham and his seed?

- 5• For if those who adhere to **Law** are heirs, faith **has** been made useless and the **promise has** been abolished.  
(**Romans 4:14**)

**[Question]** What would be the case, if those under Law were heirs of the promises?